UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,347	09/22/2003	Teruyuki Maruyama	243043US2	7039
22850 7590 02/02/2011 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		LAI, MICHAEL C		
ALEXANDRIA	JRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,347	MARUYAMA, TERUYUKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL C. LAI	2457	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 76-82 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 76-82 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 1/05/2011.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/05/2011 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

3. The examiner has acknowledged the amended claims 76, 80, and 81. The 112 second paragraph rejections to claims 76 and 82 have not been addressed correctly or not addressed at all. Therefore, the rejections are sustained. Claims 76-82 are pending.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Page 3

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 76-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant indicated that support for amended claims 76, 80, and 81 can be found throughout the original specification, including at page 63, line 15 to page 65, line 19; and Figure 3. However, examiner does not find proper descriptions for the new limitation "...configured to print, onto a recording medium of..." in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Note that the recording medium is described in the specification as for storing a program to cause a terminal to execute a procedure, not for printing.

All dependent claims are rejected too as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2457

9. Claims 76-79 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 76 recites the limitation of "...the other image forming apparatus including a first printing unit that is configured to print, onto a recording medium of the document source apparatus, the first image data or the first document stored in a document storage unit..." in lines 7-9. It is unclear how the other image forming apparatus obtains the first image data or the first document, since the preamble indicates that the first image data or the first document is stored in another image forming apparatus.

Claim 76 recites the limitations of "the document source apparatus" in lines 8-9 and "the document destination apparatus" in lines 14-15. However, the preamble indicates that the image forming apparatus is configured to server as both a document source apparatus and a document destination apparatus. It is unclear whether these two limitations are referring to the image forming apparatus or not.

Claim 76 recites the limitations of "the first image data or the first document stored in a document storage unit" in line 9 and "the document storage unit configured to store the second image data or the second document" in lines 20-21. However, the preamble indicates that the first image data or the first document is stored in another image forming apparatus and the second image

Art Unit: 2457

data or the second document is stored in the image forming apparatus. It is unclear how many document storage units in the system.

Claim 82 recites the limitations of "...cause the information processing apparatus to transmit to the document source apparatus a transmission request for print setting information to be used by the document source apparatus..." in lines 5-8, and "...in response to the transmission request for the print setting information from the document destination apparatus, cause the information processing apparatus to transmit the print setting information to the document destination apparatus..." in lines 17-20. These two limitations are contradicting to each other. It is also unclear which apparatus actually has the print setting information.

All dependent claims are rejected too as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 76, 79-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwata (US 6,778,289 B1, hereinafter Iwata), and in view of Delaney (US 6,831,754 B1, hereinafter Delaney).

Art Unit: 2457

Regarding claim 76, Iwata discloses an image forming apparatus [Fig. 4, E101 Printer] configured to serve as a document destination apparatus for receiving and printing first image data or a first document stored in another image forming apparatus, the image forming apparatus comprising:

Page 6

a communication unit configured to communicate with the other image forming apparatus connected via a network [Fig. 3, P106 LAN Interface and col. 5, lines 33-36];

a document reception unit configured to transmit a first transmission request for the first image data or the first document to the other image forming apparatus and to receive the first image data or the first document transmitted by the other image forming apparatus [Fig. 6, M108 Document Data Acquisition Unit and col. 8, lines 43-56];

a second printing unit configured to print, onto a recording medium of the document destination apparatus, the first image data or the first document received from the other image forming apparatus via the document reception unit [Fig. 3, P107 Print Engine, col. 5, lines 36-38, and col. 8, lines 50-56; Fig. 6, M109 Image Rendering Unit, M110 Image Forming Unit and col. 9, lines 15-22];

the document storage unit configured to store the second image data or the second document [Fig. 3, P103 RAM and col. 5, lines 27-30].

Art Unit: 2457

lwata discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the image forming apparatus is configured to serve as a document source apparatus having a document management unit configured to, in response to receipt of a second transmission request for the second image data or the second document from the other image forming apparatus including a first printing unit that is configured to print, onto a recording medium of the document source apparatus, the first image data or the first document stored in a document storage unit, transmit the second image data or the second document stored therein to the other image forming apparatus via the communication unit. However, Delaney teaches a system for printer-to-printer remote printing of images. In Delaney's system, digital images are sent to a recipient using a sender printer. The sender printer is Internet-enabled and the image is sent directly from the sender printer to the recipient device which can also comprise an Internet-enabled printer. In such way, printer-to-printer sending is possible [see at least the abstract, Figs. 1-3 and col. 1, lines 49-60]. Delaney further discloses that each of the image sending and printing system and the image receiving and printing system has a memory and an output device normally comprises a printer or printing mechanism [see Figs. 2, 3, and col. 2 line 54 through col. 3 line 41]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Delaney's teaching into Iwata's system for the purpose of highly automating sending and printing images by configuring the image forming apparatus also as a document source apparatus for transmitting second image

Page 7

Art Unit: 2457

data or a second document stored therein to the other image forming apparatus, thereby making printer-to-printer sending possible [col. 1, lines 44-60].

Regarding claim 79, Iwata further discloses wherein the network comprises a wired LAN or a wireless LAN [Fig. 3 and 4].

Regarding claim 80, Iwata discloses an image forming system comprising: a document destination apparatus being coupled to a document source apparatus via a network, the document destination apparatus including

a document reception unit configured to transmit the transmission request for the image data or the document to the document source apparatus and to receive the image data or the document <u>transmitted by the document source</u> <u>apparatus</u> [Fig. 6, M108 Document Data Acquisition Unit and col. 8, lines 43-56],

a second printing unit configured to print, onto a recording medium of the document destination apparatus, the image data or the document received from the document source apparatus by the document reception unit [Fig. 3, P107 Print Engine, col. 5, lines 36-38, and col. 8, lines 50-56; Fig. 6, M109 Image Rendering Unit, M110 Image Forming Unit and col. 9, lines 15-22].

lwata discloses the claimed invention except for a document storage unit configured to store image data or a document storage in the document storage unit, a first printing unit configured to print, onto a recording medium of the

Art Unit: 2457

document source apparatus, the image data or the document, and a document management unit configured to, in response to receipt of a transmission request for the image data or the document from a document destination apparatus, transmit the image data or the document stored therein to the document destination apparatus via a communication unit. However, Delaney teaches a system for printer-to-printer remote printing of images. In Delaney's system, digital images are sent to a recipient using a sender printer. The sender printer is Internet-enabled and the image is sent directly from the sender printer to the recipient device which can also comprise an Internet-enabled printer. In such way, printer-to-printer sending is possible [see at least the abstract, Figs. 1-3 and col. 1, lines 49-60]. Delaney further discloses that each of the image sending and printing system and the image receiving and printing system has a memory and an output device normally comprises a printer or printing mechanism [see Figs. 2, 3, and col. 2 line 54 through col. 3 line 41]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Delaney's teaching into Iwata's system for the purpose of highly automating sending and printing images by configuring the image forming apparatus also as a document source apparatus for transmitting second image data or a second document stored therein to the other image forming apparatus, thereby making printer-to-printer sending possible [col. 1, lines 44-60].

Page 9

Regarding claim 81, Iwata discloses an image forming system comprising:

Art Unit: 2457

a document destination apparatus comprising a second print forming unit configured to print, onto a recording medium of the document destination apparatus, the image data or the document received from the document source apparatus by a document reception unit [Fig. 4, E101; Fig. 3, P107 Print Engine, col. 5, lines 36-38, and col. 8, lines 50-56; Fig. 6, M109 Image Rendering Unit, M110 Image Forming Unit and col. 9, lines 15-22],

an information processing apparatus being coupled to a server [Fig. 4, E103 Server] and the document destination apparatus via a network, the information processing apparatus comprising a print request unit [Fig. 5, and col. 6, lines 37-67] configured to issue a print request for the image data or the document stored in the server to the document destination apparatus, the print request causing the document destination apparatus to communicate with the server and to acquire and print the image data or the document stored in the storage device of the server [Fig. 4, E102 Computer; col. 6, lines 37-67].

lwata discloses the claimed invention except for a document source apparatus including a storage device configured to store image data or a document, the document source apparatus comprising a first print forming unit configured to print, onto a recording medium of the document source apparatus, the image data or the document stored in the storage device. However, Delaney teaches a system for printer-to-printer remote printing of images. In Delaney's

Page 11

Art Unit: 2457

system, digital images are sent to a recipient using a sender printer. The sender printer is Internet-enabled and the image is sent directly from the sender printer to the recipient device which can also comprise an Internet-enabled printer. In such way, printer-to-printer sending is possible [see at least the abstract, Figs. 1-3 and col. 1, lines 49-60]. Delaney further discloses that each of the image sending and printing system and the image receiving and printing system has a memory and an output device normally comprises a printer or printing mechanism [see Figs. 2, 3, and col. 2 line 54 through col. 3 line 41]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Delaney's teaching into Iwata's system for the purpose of highly automating sending and printing images by configuring the image forming apparatus also as a document source apparatus for transmitting second image data or a second document stored therein to the other image forming apparatus, thereby making printer-to-printer sending possible [col. 1, lines 44-60].

Regarding claim 82, Iwata further discloses wherein the document destination apparatus comprises

a setting information acquisition unit configured to, in response to the print request for the image data or the document stored in the storage device of the server from the information processing apparatus, cause the information processing apparatus to transmit to the document source apparatus a

Art Unit: 2457

transmission request for print setting information to be used by the server [Fig. 6, M104 Document Information Processor and col. 7, lines 37-42];

a document acquisition unit configured to transmit a document acquisition request to the server to acquire the image data or the document from the server [Fig. 6, M108 Document Data Acquisition Unit and col. 8, lines 43-56]; wherein

the second print forming unit is configured to print the image data or the document stored in the server based on the print setting information [Fig. 3, P107 Print Engine, col. 5, lines 36-38, and col. 8, lines 50-56; Fig. 6, M109 Image Rendering Unit, M110 Image Forming Unit and col. 9, lines 15-22].

Iwata discloses the claimed invention except for: a setting information transmission unit and a document transmission unit for the document source apparatus. As discussed above, Delaney teaches a system for printer-to-printer remote printing of images. In Delaney's system, digital images are sent to a recipient using a sender printer. The sender printer is Internet-enabled and the image is sent directly from the sender printer to the recipient device which can also comprise an Internet-enabled printer. In such way, printer-to-printer sending is possible [see at least the abstract, Figs. 1-3 and col. 1, lines 49-60]. Delaney further discloses that each of the image sending and printing system and the image receiving and printing system has a sending/printing controller [see Figs. 2, 3, and col. 2 line 54 through col. 3 line 41]. It would have been obvious to a

Art Unit: 2457

person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Delaney's teaching into Iwata's system for the purpose of highly automating sending and printing images by configuring a setting information transmission unit and a document transmission unit for the document source apparatus the same as for the document destination apparatus, thereby making printer-to-printer sending possible [col. 1, lines 44-60].

12. Claims 77-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwata and Delaney as applied to claim 76, and further in view of Butterworth et al. (US 2004/0133656 A1, hereinafter Butterworth).

Regarding claim 77, Iwata and Delaney disclose the claimed invention except for wherein the document reception unit receives the first image data or the first document stored in the other image forming apparatus from the other image forming apparatus through a web service for providing the first image data or the first document in a SOAP based HTTP response in response to a SOAP based HTTP request indicative of the first transmission request for the first image data or the first document. However, Butterworth teaches that messages between clients and web services may use SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) based HTTP [para. 0012]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Butterworth's teaching into Iwata's and Delaney's system for the purpose of defining a uniform way of passing XML-encoded data and defining a way to perform remote procedure calls using HTTP (or another transport protocol) as the underlying

communication protocol by using a SOAP, thereby increasing the opportunities for reuse, as the service places essentially no constraints on the platform, language, or location of its clients [para. 0012].

Regarding claim 78, Iwata and Delaney disclose the claimed invention except for wherein the document management unit comprises a web service for providing the second image data or the second document in a SOAP based HTTP response in response to a SOAP based HTTP request indicative of the second transmission request for the second image data or the second document. However, Butterworth teaches that messages between clients and web services may use SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol) based HTTP [para. 0012]. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Butterworth's teaching into Iwata's and Delaney's system for the purpose of defining a uniform way of passing XMLencoded data and defining a way to perform remote procedure calls using HTTP (or another transport protocol) as the underlying communication protocol by using a SOAP, thereby increasing the opportunities for reuse, as the service places essentially no constraints on the platform, language, or location of its clients [para. 0012].

Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and

Art Unit: 2457

are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL C. LAI whose telephone number is (571)270-3236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 2457

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Lai 20JAN2011

/YVES DALENCOURT/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457